

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/756,939                                  | 01/14/2004        | Jin-Ho Park          | 21C0108US           | 3289             |  |
| 23413 7550 01/05/2011<br>CANTOR COLBURN LLP |                   |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 20 Church Stre                              |                   | BODDIE, WILLIAM      |                     |                  |  |
| 22nd Floor<br>Hartford, CT 06103            |                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| , , , , , ,                                 | matord, c.1 60165 |                      | 2629                |                  |  |
|   |                   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |                   |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |                   |                      | 01/05/2011          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| 1 | Application No.   | Applicant(s) |  |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--|
|   | 10/756,939        | PARK, JIN-HO |  |
|   | Examiner          | Art Unit     |  |
|   | WILLIAM L. BODDIE | 2629         |  |

|  | WILLIAM L. BODDIE   | 2629   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies; (f) an amendment, affidavt, or other evidence, which piaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing  | date of the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)   | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.<br>Examiner Note: (f box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date<br>have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL.  | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing dat  | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the                  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to a light of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, and the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rej</li></ol> | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | E below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)      how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the new or amended claims.  |   | be entered and an ex                                       | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:<br>Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 14-17</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br>See Continuation Sheet.   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Milliam I. Dadd:-/  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | /William L Boddie/<br>Primary Examiner Art II   | nit 2629   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of the arguments presented are directed to the newly added limitations concerning placement of the timing controller. Further consideration and search would be necessary to fully respond to arguments concerning the newly added claim limitations.